Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/663,740	BATEMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rehana Perveen	2116
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Rehana Perveen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. William N. Hughet.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 March 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		,
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Description	
(Examinerion Ligitature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner notified the Attorney of record regarding a restriction requirement for the newly presented claims as attached. The examiner also indicated that claims relating to the original scope of the invention will be treated on the merits based on representation by original presentation.